

Research on Green and Low-Carbon Transformation Path of the Shipping Industry Based on International Standards and Market Demand

Chenxuan Xu

S16Grade 11, Qingdao West Coast Area No.1 Senior High School, Qingdao, Shandong, 266555, China

ABSTRACT

Amid global climate change efforts, the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and others have set ship emission standards and green low-carbon regulations to strictly limit sulfur and nitrogen oxide emissions. Concurrently, growing environmental awareness has boosted market demand for green low-carbon ships. This study proposes green low-carbon transformation paths for the shipping industry based on international standards and market needs, constructing a "standard-technology-market" collaborative analysis framework to address gaps in existing research regarding policy implementation and corporate practice alignment. Practically, it provides governments with a basis for designing "carbon tax + subsidy" policies and offers enterprises decision-making tools like "fuel substitution priority" and "technology roadmap formulation".

KEYWORDS

Shipping industry; Green and low-carbon transformation; International maritime organization (IMO); Emission standards; "Standard-technology-market" framework; Carbon tax + subsidy; Fuel substitution; Technology roadmap

1 Elaboration on Research Background and Significance

1.1 Global Climate and Shipping Emission Status

The global average temperature has risen by 1.1° C compared to pre-industrial levels. If current emission trends continue, the 2°C threshold will be exceeded by 2050. As a core carrier of international trade, the shipping industry emits 940 million tons of CO₂ annually, accounting for 3% of global emissions, with bulk carriers and container ships contributing over 70% of total emissions [01]. IMO research indicates that shipping-related SO_x and NO_x emissions account for 13% and 15% of global anthropogenic emissions, respectively, directly contributing to acid rain formation in coastal cities and increased respiratory disease incidence [02].

1.2 Multi-dimensional Analysis of Transformation Necessity

Environmental Dimension: IMO Initial Greenhouse Gas Strategy mandates net-zero emissions for the shipping sector by 2050, force the industry to eliminate high-carbon technologies.

Economic Dimension: Although green ship construction costs are 15–30% higher than traditional vessels, lifecycle fuel costs can be reduced by over 40%. For instance, Maersk's 24,000 TEU methanol-powered ship has verified fuel cost savings of \$280,000 per voyage [03].

Industry Competition Dimension: DNV data shows that green ship orders accounted for 52% of total orders in 2024, placing companies without low-carbon technology layouts at risk of market share erosion.

1.3 Value and Guiding Role of This Research

Theoretically, this study constructs a "standard-technology-market" synergy analysis framework, addressing gaps in existing research regarding policy implementation and enterprise practice integration. Practically, it provides governments with a basis for designing "carbon tax + subsidy" combined policies and offers enterprises decision-making tools such as "fuel substitution priority selection" and "technology roadmap development."

2 In-depth Analysis of International Standards and Demands

2.1 Interpretation of International Maritime Organization (IMO) Standards

The 2025 amendments to IMO MARPOL Annex VI establish a "staged carbon intensity standard":

- 2027–2030: Ship fuel carbon intensity reduction of 30% compared to 2008 levels;
- 2030–2040: Further reduction of 43%;
- Post-2040: 10% reduction every five years [04].

Compliance mechanisms adopt a "reward-penalty" system: Ships using green ammonia (carbon intensity <19 g CO₂ eq/

MJ) qualify for a \$200 subsidy per ton of CO₂, while excessive emissions incur fines of up to \$380 per ton. Covering 85% of global shipping emissions, this standard is projected to reduce industry CO₂ emissions by 120 million tons annually upon its 2027 enforcement.

2.2 Relevant Requirements from Other International Organizations

The EU's FuelEU Maritime Regulation further specifies regional standards:

2025: 2% reduction in ship fuel carbon intensity vs. 2020;

2030: 13% reduction;

2050: Full lifecycle zero emissions.

Its innovation lies in the dual-track system of Energy Efficiency Existing Ship Index (EEXI) and Carbon Intensity Indicator (CII). Ships rated CII Class D must submit rectification plans within six months or face EU port entry bans [05]. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) imposes stricter NO_x standards on ships calling at Los Angeles Port, requiring a 50% reduction compared to IMO Tier III.

2.3 Market Demand Characteristics and Trend Insights

Shipper Side: Major companies like IKEA and Unilever have signed forward-looking "Green Shipping Agreements," mandating carriers to use zero-carbon fuels for 50% of shipping capacity by 2030, with non-compliant partners facing contract termination risks.

Port Side: Shanghai Port offers up to 50% port fee discounts for ships using shore power, driving international container ship shore power utilization from 12% (2020) to 68% (2024) [06].

Financial Side: The London insurance market has increased premiums for high-emission ships by 20 – 50% while offering preferential rates and priority claims processing for green-compliant vessels.

3 Assessment and Comparison of Transformation Status

3.1 Foreign Transformation Achievements and Experiences

Norway leads in technological R&D:

- The all-electric container ship "Yara Birkeland" achieves zero emissions with a 7 MWh battery capacity (equivalent to ~1,000 Tesla Model 3s).

- DNV GL's "Ammonia Fuel Safety Code" has gained international recognition as a global industry standard.

The EU has pioneered policy innovation through its Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM), imposing additional carbon taxes on imported high-carbon ship products to drive low-carbon transformation in global supply chains.

3.2 Domestic Transformation Progress and Gaps

China has made breakthroughs in green shipbuilding:

Hudong-Zhonghua Shipbuilding developed an 18,000 TEU LNG-powered container ship using "membrane fuel tank + dual-fuel engine" technology, reducing energy consumption by 20% compared to conventional vessels.

China Classification Society (CCS) updated its Green Ship Rules to the 4th edition, covering 80% of low-carbon technology application scenarios.

However, core components remain heavily import-dependent: 80% of ship batteries rely on South Korea's Samsung SDI;

Ammonia fuel engine control systems are monopolized by Germany's MAN Energy Solutions;

Resulting in a localization rate of less than 15% in this critical field in China [07].

4 Exploration of Technological Innovation Paths

4.1 Development and Application of Alternative Fuels

Short-term Transition (2025–2030): LNG dominates due to mature supply chains (China builds 53% of the world's 1,329 LNG-powered ships). IMO regulations require 30% biomethane blending, but current biomethane costs (2.3× conventional LNG) hinder large-scale adoption [08].

Mid-term Mainstream (2030–2040): Methanol is preferred for high energy density (22.7 MJ/kg) and low refueling infrastructure retrofitting cost (~\$5 million/port). Yangzhou COSCO Shipping Heavy Industry's 24,000 TEU methanol dual-fuel ship uses a "WinGD 12X92DF engine + methanol storage tank" system, consuming ~12,000 tons of methanol annually, reducing CO₂ emissions by 120,000 tons/year with an 8-year investment payback period [09].

Long-term Zero-Carbon (Post-2040): Green hydrogen (produced via renewable energy) and green ammonia

(synthesized from green hydrogen) are ultimate goals. Yara International's "renewable ammonia production" technology has reduced costs to \$2.8/kg, but cryogenic storage requirements (-33°C) increase ship construction costs by 40% [10].

4.2 Energy Efficiency Improvement and Smart Technology Application

Hull Optimization:

Jiangnan Shipyard's "bulbous bow + energy-saving appendages" design reduces 300,000 DWT VLCC resistance by 8% and increases speed by 2 knots.

Hudong-Zhonghua's "air lubrication system" reduces friction via hull bubble layers, achieving 12% fuel savings per voyage.

Smart Operations: COSCO Shipping uses digital twin technology for real-time speed/route adjustments, reducing fuel consumption by 15% and carbon emissions by 18% on the Shanghai-Rotterdam route [11].

5 Analysis of Policy Support Paths

5.1 Domestic Policy Incentives and Guidance Measures

Central Level:

The Ministry of Finance established a "Green Ship Construction Special Fund" offering ¥2,000/Deadweight Ton subsidies (max. ¥200 million/ship) for methanol-powered vessels.

The State Taxation Administration implements "tax credit" policies for energy-efficient equipment purchases, with credits up to 10% of equipment investment.

Local Level: Shenzhen piloted "carbon quota pledge financing," allowing ship enterprises to pledge carbon allowances for loans with 30% interest rate discounts, facilitating ¥1.2 billion in financing for 5 enterprises [12].

5.2 Policy Coordination and Implementation Effect Evaluation

Current challenges include "standard fragmentation": Differences between IMO carbon intensity standards and EU FuelEU calculation methods may subject ships to dual accounting. Proposed solutions:

Establish an "international standard mutual recognition mechanism."

Pilot carbon intensity accounting mutual recognition between CCS and Lloyd's Register (LR), projected to reduce enterprise compliance costs by 35%.

6 Research on Market Mechanism Paths

6.1 Industrial Chain Collaborative Development Model

Sinopec and COSCO Shipping jointly built a "green fuel supply chain":

A 500,000-ton green methanol production base in Zhoushan Port, supported by 3 methanol refueling stations, forming an integrated "production-storage-refueling" network.

This model reduces methanol procurement costs by 18% and increases refueling efficiency to 500 tons/hour [13].

6.2 Innovation and Improvement Directions for Market Mechanisms

The Shanghai Environment and Energy Exchange launched "shipping carbon quota futures," with 2024 trading volume reaching 8 million tons, providing enterprises with carbon price risk management tools. Recommendations include expanding traded varieties to introduce "fuel carbon intensity futures" for locking long-term procurement costs of green ammonia/methanol.

7 Discussion on Enterprise Management Paths

7.1 ESG System Construction and Implementation Key Points

China State Shipbuilding Corporation established a three-tier ESG management framework:

Sustainability Committee under the Board of Directors;

ESG Management Department at headquarters;

Dedicated ESG positions in subsidiaries.

Its 2024 ESG report disclosed 28 key performance indicators (KPI), including "green ship order ratio" and "energy consumption per unit output value," representing a 120% improvement since 2020 [14].

7.2 Digitalization and Lean Management Methods

Jiangnan Shipbuilding applied "digital twin factories":

- 3D modeling and real-time data collection shortened shipbuilding cycles by 25%.
- Steel utilization rates increased from 85% to 92%, reducing annual waste emissions by 12,000 tons.

Recommendations include promoting "modular construction" to increase hull section prefabrication rates to over 90% for further energy reduction.

8 Case Analysis and Enlightenment

8.1 Domestic Typical Case

COSCO Shipping Group's "Methanol Power Transformation Three-Step Strategy":

2023: Ordered 30 methanol dual-fuel ships.

2025: Completed Zhoushan methanol refueling center.

2030: Achieve 50% methanol-powered fleet for COSCO Shipping Lines.

Innovation lies in "Fuel Supply Agreements (FSA)" for price locking: A 10-year green methanol procurement agreement with Xinjiang Guanghui Energy locks prices at \$280/ton (15% below spot prices) [15].

8.2 International Typical Case

Norway's "Oslo Zero-Emission Port Model" uses a "carbon credit" system to incentivize low-carbon ship behavior:

- (1) credit/hour for shore power usage;
- (2) credits/ton for green ammonia fuel;

Credits redeemable for 50% port fee discounts or Norwegian carbon sink allowances.

This model reduced port emissions by 42% (vs. 2019) and attracted 23 global shipping companies to establish headquarters there [16].

9 Conclusions and Recommendations

The shipping industry's green low-carbon transformation requires breaking through "technology-policy-market" bottlenecks:

Technology: Accelerate cost reduction of green hydrogen electrolyzers to <\$1/W.

Policy: Promote mutual recognition between IMO carbon taxes and EU ETS to avoid double charging.

Market: Develop "transoceanic green fuel corridors" connecting hubs like Zhoushan (China), Singapore, and Rotterdam (Europe).

Enterprises should prioritize methanol/ammonia-powered ship layouts, participate in carbon trading markets, and sign "take-or-pay" fuel supply agreements with energy companies to ensure transformation stability.

References

- [1] International Maritime Organization. (2025).Third IMO GHG Study 2025. London: IMO Publishing.
- [2] European Commission. (2025).FuelEU Maritime: Impact Assessment Report. Brussels: EC Publications Office.
- [3] Maersk Group. (2024).Annual Integrated Report 2024. Copenhagen: Maersk Group.
- [4] Ministry of Transport of China. (2024). Action Plan for Green Development of Shipbuilding Industry (2024 – 2030). Beijing: China Communications Press.
- [5] China Classification Society. (2025).White Paper on Green Ship Technology. Shanghai: CCS Press.
- [6] Shanghai International Port Group. (2024).Annual Sustainability Report 2024. Shanghai: SIPG Press.
- [7] China Association of the National Shipbuilding Industry. (2025).Shipbuilding Industry Green Development Blueprint. Beijing: Industry Press.
- [8] DNV GL. (2024).Alternative Fuels Insight Report 2024. Oslo: DNV GL Group.
- [9] COSCO Shipping Heavy Industry. (2024).Methanol Dual-Fuel Vessel Technical Manual. Nantong: COSCO Press.
- [10] Yara International. (2024).Green Ammonia Production Technology Roadmap. Oslo: Yara Publications.
- [11] China Shipbuilding Group. (2025).Digital Twin Shipbuilding Application Case. Shanghai: CSSC Press.
- [12] Shenzhen Municipal Bureau of Finance. (2024).Green Finance Policy Implementation Effect Evaluation. Shenzhen: Shenzhen Press.
- [13] Sinopec Group. (2024).Green Methanol Supply Chain Construction Report. Beijing: Sinopec Press.
- [14] China State Shipbuilding Corporation. (2024).ESG Management System Manual. Beijing: CSSC Press.
- [15] COSCO Shipping Lines. (2024).Methanol Fleet Transition Plan 2023–2030. Shanghai: COSCO Press.
- [16] Port of Oslo. (2024).Zero Emission Port Initiative Annual Report. Oslo: Port of Oslo Authority.